UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/575,924	04/14/2006	Frederik van Laar	288568US0PCT	5270	
22850 ORLON SPIV	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE ST	ΓREET	TER & NEODINGI, 1.0.	NAZARIO GONZALEZ, PORFIRIO		
ALEXANDRIA	RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1621		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/04/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			VAN LAAR ET AL.			
		10/575,924	Art Unit			
•	omee Adden Cammary	Examiner				
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Porfirio Nazario-Gonzalez	1621			
Period fo	• •	ears on the cover sheet with the c	on opportunity address =			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 No.	ovember 2007.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	, _ · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9 and 13</u> is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>10-12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	ndrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc. Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

10/575,924 Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 10-12, in the reply filed on November 29, 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "no adequate and/or examples have been provided to support a conclusion of patentable distinctiveness between the identified groups. This is not found persuasive because under PCT Rule 13.1 lack a single general inventive concept by lacking the same or corresponding technical features as described in paragraph 2 of the Office Action mailed October 29, 2007. Note that Applicants have not rebutted the Examiner's argument and supporting evidence of lacking the same or corresponding special technical features.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 1-9 and amended claim 13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on November 29, 2007. Note that amended claim 13 is directed to a process of using the invention of Group II for making aromatic amine by hydrogenation of an aromatic nitro compound. Further note that the claimed catalyst is of narrow scope when compared to the catalyst used in claims 1-9 and 13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

10/575,924 Art Unit: 1621

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Buchanan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,759,944). The Buchanan et al. patent disclose a catalyst material comprising a Pt-Ni alloy supported on EC72R Carbon having a Pt:Ni atomic ratio of 50:50. See Column 3, lines 15-43.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Porfirio Nazario-Gonzalez whose telephone number is 571-272-0641. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (9:30 AM 6:00 PM).
- 6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,924 Art Unit: 1621

Page 4

PNG January 24, 2008